Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/920,788	YORKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2195
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gilbert Wong.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 November 2007	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Potential Double Patenting and claim objections		
Claims discussed: 35-55		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
·		
	•	
L'asile		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to cancel claims 46-54 for being substantially duplicate of claims 35-45, and to removing the claim limitation "changing an object in the first system" such that it does not contradict the limitation of "storing a set of changes during a transaction". Applicant agreed to amend claim 43 to insert the limitation that the change information includes a primary key identifying the object and any changed attribute of an object. Applicant agreed to amend the preamble of claim 55 also.